Peacham Vermont



Annual Report 2010 School

Town Meeting Day

Tuesday, March 1st, 2011 10:00 AM (Town Meeting followed by School District Meeting)

Peacham Congregational Church

Lunch

Ham or Turkey with trimmings Served at noon \$6 per person \$3 per child 5-10 Children under 5 free

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE TOWN OFFICERS

TOWN OF PEACHAM School Reports Vermont 2010

SCHOOL FISCAL YEAR ENDING June 30th, 2010

Turn Report Over for Town Reports

SCHOOL DISTRICT REPORTS

1
6
18
7
22
23
17
3
21
20
19
14
2

Peacham School Auditors' Report 2010

The financial position of the Peacham School District as of June 30, 2010 is currently being professionally audited. These books are audited by professionals every third year. No audit report was available at the time this Town Report went to press. A copy of the final audit will be available in the Peacham Town Office upon completion.

Jan Eastman, Julie Kempton

Peacham School District Auditors

WARNING FOR THE ANNUAL SCHOOL DISTRICT MEETING OF THE TOWN OF PEACHAM **TO BE HELD ON MARCH 1, 2011**

The legal voters of the town of Peacham are hereby warned to meet in the Peacham Congregational Church on Tuesday, March 1, 2011 at 10:00 AM to transact the following business:

ARTICLE 1	To elect a Moderator to conduct and govern the meeting.
ARTICLE 2	To hear the Auditors report on the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2010.
ARTICLE 3	To elect a School District Clerk/Treasurer.
ARTICLE 4	To elect a School Director for a term of three years. To elect a School Director for the remaining one year of a three year term.
ARTICLE 5	To hear a Report of the Board.
ARTICLE 6	Shall the voters approve reserving the audited fund balance at June 30, 2010 for use in the FY 2013 Budget?
ARTICLE 7	Shall the voters of the Peacham School District vote to adopt an expenditure budget as presented for the school year ending June 30, 2012 including an appropriation of a sum of money for the support of schools with provisions for current expenses, capital improvement, a deficit if any, and for other lawful purposes?
ARTICLE 8	Shall the voters of the Peacham School District approve the transfer of \$6,000 included in Article 6 to the Capital Fund?

- Shall the voters of the Peacham School District approve the transfer of \$27,390 included in ARTICLE 9 Article 6 to the Hot Lunch Fund?
- ARTICLE 10 Shall the voters of the Peacham School District authorize its Board of Directors to borrow money to pay its lawful debts and expenses for the fiscal year which ends June 30, 2012 in an amount not to exceed 90% of the anticipated collection of taxes and receipts of other funds to be used for those purposes?
- **ARTICLE 11** To transact any other non-binding business that may legally come before the meeting. Dated at Peacham this 24 day of January, 2011.

Peacham School Directors Jo Anne Post, Chair Wynne Browne, Vice-Chair Jenny Mackenzie, Clerk Attest: Bruce Lafferty, wn Clerk and Treasurer

Minutes for the School District Meeting March 2, 2010

The legal voters of The Town of Peacham met at the Peacham Congregational Church in Peacham, on Tuesday, March 2, 2010 at 10:00 AM.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited at the beginning of the meeting.

There was a moment of silence to honor departed members and those men and women who are serving their country here and abroad.

Rob Ide, speaking on behalf of the Peacham Congregational Church, welcomed all visitors to the church at the beginning of Peacham School District Meeting.

Rob Ide introduced Mary Ellen Griffin who would serve as Town Attorney.

General announcements:

- 1. Rob Ide presented the ground rules for how the meeting was to be conducted.
- 2. Lunch would be served in the downstairs of the Peacham Congregational Church at 12:00 PM.
- 3. The Northeast Kingdom Waste Management District poll would be open until 7:00 PM, moving to the Town Clerk's office after the Town Meeting is adjourned.
- Article 1 To elect a Moderator to conduct and govern the meeting.

John Coffin nominated Rob Ide. There being no other nominations, a voice vote was taken. Ide elected.

Article 2 To hear the Auditors report on the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2009.

There being no auditors present, the Moderator referred voters to page 1 of the Town Report.

Article 3 To elect a School District Clerk/Treasurer.

Richard Browne nominated Bruce Lafferty. There being no other nominations, a voice vote was taken. Lafferty elected.

Article 4 To elect a School Director for a term of three years.

Dave Magnus nominated Jo Anne Post. There being no other nominations, Jerry Senturia moved to close nominations and asked the Clerk to cast a single ballot for Jo Anne Post. Diana Senturia seconded. An affirmative voice vote closed nominations and the Town Clerk was instructed to cast one ballot. Post elected.

- Article 5 1
- To hear a report of the Board.

The Moderator asked for the unanimous consent to allow Superintendent, Martha Tucker, to speak on behalf of the School Board. There were no objections.

Jo Anne Post presented the School Board report. She began by introducing Mark Peabody, the new Peacham Elementary School principal.

The total budget request is \$1,655,277.00. She reported that the budget was about \$20,000.00 less than last year and that it represented a scaled down, no frills budget. She reported that our student population is down by 4 students and that the base for tax rate calculations was frozen by the legislature. Rather than being increased for inflation, it was held at last year's rate.

3

Martha Tucker provided key legislative information regarding school consolidation and school merger proposals. She reported on the two proposed systems, the Incentive System for school systems who merge on their own and the Top Down proposal which forces school districts into mergers. She reported that the legislature appears to be interested in "increasing opportunities and options for students". She said State funding is directly tied to State standards. She reminded voters that this is all still in the conceptual stage.

Article 6

Shall the voters of the Peacham School District vote to adopt an expenditure budget as presented for the school year ending June 30, 2011 including an appropriation of a sum of money for the support of schools with provisions for current expenses, capital improvement, a deficit if any, and for other lawful purposes?

Bruce Courtot moved that the Town approve a budget of \$1,655,277.00 for the coming year. Diana Senturia seconded. Dave Magnus moved to increase the amount of Article 6 by \$22,00.00. Wendy McKenzie seconded. Jo Anne Post explained the \$22,000.00 would allow a parttime position to become full-time. Jock Gill called for a paper ballot. The Moderator explained that a yes vote would allow the amendment and a no vote would not. There were 120 votes cast. There 48 yes, 71 no, and 1 spoiled ballot. Amendment defeated. The Moderator called for a voice vote. Article 6 passed.

Article 7 Shall the voters of the Peacham School District approve the transfer of \$6,000 included in Article 6 to the Capital Fund?

Jerry Senturia moved the question. Diana Senturia seconded. School Director, Wynne Browne, discussed the Capital Fund transfer. This fund was formerly known as the Sinking Fund and the Bus Fund and the money will be used for upcoming capital building projects. The moderator called for a voice vote. Article 7 passed.

Article 8 Shall the voters of the Peacham School District approve the transfer of \$28,861 included in Article 6 to the Hot Lunch Fund?

Eric Page moved the question. Diana Senturia seconded. The Moderator called for a voice vote. Article 8 passed.

Article 9 Shall the voters of the Peacham School District authorize its Board of Directors to borrow money to pay its lawful debts and expenses for the fiscal year which ends June 30, 2011 in an amount not to exceed 90% of the anticipated collection of taxes and receipts of other funds to be used for those purposes?

Mark Clough moved the question. Dave Magnus seconded. The Moderator called for a voice vote. Article 9 passed.

The following article was submitted by petition of the electorate:

Article 10 Shall the school district vote to direct its Board of Directors to take proactive measures to ensure that the current status of school choice for Peacham families with children in grades seven through twelve is maintained?

Jerry Senturia moved the question. Rod Reis seconded. Wendy Morgan spoke to the question. Discussion followed.

Jo Anne Post and Superintendent, Martha Tucker spoke about the School Board's present policy of school choice and the importance of gathering the sense of the Town.

The Moderator called for a voice vote. Article 10 passed.

4

Article 11 To transact any other non-binding business that may legally come before the meeting.

Karen Gallas, President of the Library Board, encouraged voters to fill out the library survey. She reported that the Peacham Library will be celebrating its bicentennial in 2010.

5

Martha Ide moved that the meeting be adjourned. Jerry Senturia seconded. So voted.

Meeting adjourned at 12:05 PM.

The foregoing is approved and attested by:

Peacham School Directors Jo Anne Post

6(Wynne Browne

David Stauffer

'an

<u>Moderator</u> Rebert Ide

Clerk to the School District Bruce Lafferty

Peacham School Report 2010

PEACHAM SCHOOL DISTRICT June 30, 2010

ASSETS

		ASSEIS		Capital &	
		Hot Lunch	Special	General Long	Memo Only
Comment Accest	<u>General Fund</u>	Fund	Reserve Fund	Term Debt	Totals
<u>Current Asset</u>					
Cash	\$ 53,375				\$ 53,375
Restricted Cash			66,664		66,664
Accounts Receivable Due From Other Funds	14,289	116 0	2 502		14,405 21,422
Due From CCSU	17,839 0	0	3,593		21,432 0
Prepaid Expense	4,219				4,219
Total Current Assets	89,723	116	70,257	0	160,095
Fixed Assets					
Property - Net of Depreciation	0	0		108,231	108,231
Total Fixed Assets	0	0	0	108,231	108,231
Total Assets	\$ 89,723	\$ 116	\$ 70,257	\$ 108,231	\$ 268,326
	LIABILITIES	AND FUND BAL	ANCE		
<u>Current Liabilities</u>					
Accounts Payable	\$ 16,707				16,707
Accrued Salaries and Benefits	15,950				15,950
Due To Other Funds Due To CCSU	0	21,529	0		21,529
Due To CCSU Deferred Revenue	0 0				0 0
Total Current Liabilities	32,657	21,529	0	0	54,186
Long Term Liabilities					
Bond Payable	0	0	0	55,000	55,000
Total Long Term Liabilities	0	0	0	55,000	55,000
Total Liabilities	32,657	21,529	0	55,000	109,186
Fund Balance					
Unreserved Fund Balance	21,760	(27,240)			(5,480)
FY10 Results	82,759	(23,626)	4,350		63,484
Investment in General Fixed Assets	0	20.452	10.000	53,231	53,231
Transfers (To)/From Other Funds Reserved Fund Balance	(47,453) 0	29,453 0	18,000 47,906		0 47,906
Total Fund Balance				 52 221	
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	57,066 \$ 89,723	(21,413) \$ 116	70,257 \$ 70,257	53,231 \$ 108,231	159,141 \$ 268,329
I VI AI LIUDIITIES UNU I UNU DUIUNCE	ψ 09,723	φ 110	φ /0,207	ψ 100,231	Ψ 200,329

	בו	Peacham School District FY12 Budget Town Report	ool District own Report			
Function: Expenditure Summary by Function	nction	-		(; ;	-	
<u>Function</u> Title	Actual FYE June 30, 2010	Budget FYE June 30, 2011	Projected FYE June 30, 2011	Budget FYE June 30, 2012	Budget Incrs/(Decrs)	Percent Incrs/(Decrs)
1000 Instruction	258,157	290,773	289,273	295,983	5,210	1.79%
1000 Regular Education Tuition	847,994	845,859	758,432	782,588	(63,271)	-7.48%
1200 Special Education	66,512	42,645	48,236	49,770	7,125	16.71%
1200 Special Education Tuition	47,218	29,090	45,235	30,000	910	3.13%
2100 Student Support	72,391	22,167	17,129	17,567	(4,600)	-20.75%
2120 Guidance	8,952	9,405	8,068	8,558	(847)	-9.00%
2130 Nurse	4,884	5,078	5,216	5,622	544	10.71%
2150 Speech	269	1,300	1,300	3,840	2,540	195.38%
2222 Library	3,480	14,081	11,601	11,058	(3,023)	-21.47%
2230 Technology	18,826	20,753	23,451	25,924	5,171	24.92%
2240 Professional Development	9,569	5,375	2,500	5,375	0	0.01%
2300 School Board	58,665	61,042	60,873	54,694	(6,347)	-10.40%
2410 Principal's Office	79,679	94,002	102,924	103,775	9,773	10.40%
2520 Fiscal Services	26,376	39,084	23,296	39,730	646	1.65%
2600 Plant Operation	77,244	84,373	85,440	85,132	759	0.90%
2700 Transportation	35,907	37,700	35,750	37,700	0	0.00%
5000 Debt Service	18,541	17,691	17,691	16,841	(850)	-3.59%
Education Fund Payments	12,724	0	0	0	0	NM
(Under School Board in Detail)	(1)					
Totals	1,647,388	1,620,417	1,536,414	1,574,158	(46,259)	-2.84%
Revenues	1,728,468	1,655,278	1,691,265	1,607,548	(47,730)	-2.88%
Surplus/(Deficit)	81,080	34,861	154,850	33,391	(1,471)	
Capital Fund Transfer (2)	(18,000)	(000'9)	(000'9)	(000'9)	0	
Hot Lunch Provision (1)	(29,453)	(28,861)	(28,861)	(27,390)	1,471	
Surplus/(Deficit)	<u>33,627</u>	a	119,989	0	0	
				<u>1,607,548</u>	Total FY12 Budget Request	t Request
Fy10 results are being audited	p				General Fund and Hot Lunch	Hot Lunch
(1) Amounts were originally included in the School Board line of the budget	ded in the Schoo	l Board line of the	: budget	1,428,985	ED Fund Spending	
(2) Amount originally included under Debt Service	ider Debt Service	0		1,465,216	Challenge Target	
As of : 10-Jan-11				(36,231)		
Version V4.0						

Peacham

FY2011 Budget	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY'11 to F	Y'12
	Actual	Budget	Projection	Budget	Incrs/(Decrs)	Pct

FUNCTION

1000 Classroom Instruction

Ē	_		l. I.				1	
	100	Personnel	182,779	212,608	212,280	217,465	4,857	2.28%
	200	Benefits	58,812	67,776	60,243	67,733	(42)	-0.06%
	300	Professional Service	7,911	5,000	5,500	2,300	(2,700)	-54.00%
	400	Property Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
ĺ	500	Other Services	0	250	250	250	0	0.00%
ĺ	560	Tuition	847,994	845,859	758,432	782,588	(63,271)	-7.48%
	600	Supplies	8,505	5,139	11,000	7,414	2,275	44.27%
ĺ	700	Equipment	0	0	0	820	820	NM
ĺ	800	Other	150	0	0	0	0	NM
ĺ	900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
		Totals	1,106,151	1,136,631	1,047,705	1,078,571	(58,061)	-5.11%

1200 Special Education

100	Personnel	49,135	31,122	33,771	34,466	3,344	10.75%
200	Benefits	9,114	5,423	8,965	9,204	3,781	69.72%
300	Professional Service	7,283	5,000	5,100	5,000	0	0.00%
400	Property Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
500	Other Services	132	100	100	100	0	0.00%
560	Tuition	47,218	29,090	45,235	30,000	910	3.13%
600	Supplies	848	1,000	300	1,000	0	0.00%
700	Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	NM
800	Other	0	0	0	0	0	NM
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
	Totals	113,730	71,735	93,471	79,770	8,035	11.20%

2100 Student Support

900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
800	Other	0	0	0	0	0	NM
700	Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	NM
600	Supplies	65	250	250	250	0	0.00%
500	Other Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
400	Property Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
300	Professional Service	841	0	100	0	0	NM
200	Benefits	20,545	2,495	1,723	1,750	(745)	-29.85%
100	Personnel	50,940	19,422	15,056	15,566	(3,856)	-19.85%

2120 Guidance

	Totals	8,952	9,405	8,068	8,558	(847)	-9.00%
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
800	Other	0	0	0	0	0	NM
700	Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	NM
600	Supplies	297	300	300	325	25	8.33%
500	Other Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
400	Property Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
300	Professional Service	0	0	0	0	0	NM
200	Benefits	794	1,059	708	892	(167)	-15.78%
100	Personnel	7,861	8,046	7,060	7,342	(704)	-8.75%

2130 Nurse

	Totals	4,884	5,078	5,216	5,622	544	10.71%
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
800	Other	0	0	0	0	0	NM
700	Equipment	0	0	0	700	700	NM
600	Supplies	500	500	650	260	(240)	-48.00%
500	Other Services	58	0	0	0	0	NM
400	Property Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
300	Professional Service	0	0	0	0	0	NM
200	Benefits	307	457	425	420	(37)	-8.15%
100	Personnel	4,019	4,121	4,141	4,242	121	2.94%

2150 Speech

	Totals	269	1,300	1,300	3,840	2,540	195.38%
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
800	Other	0	0	0	0	0	NM
700	Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	NM
600	Supplies	0	100	100	0	(100)	NM
500	Other Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
400	Property Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
300	Professional Service	269	1,200	1,200	3,840	2,640	220.00%
200	Benefits	0	0	0	0	0	NM
100	Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	NM

2222 Library

	Totals	3,480	14,081	11,601	11,058	(3,023)	-21.47%
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
800	Other	0	0	0	0	0	NM
700	Equipment	0	0	0	300	300	NM
600	Supplies	3,480	3,035	4,500	3,362	327	10.77%
500	Other Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
400	Property Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
300	Professional Service	0	0	0	0	0	NM
200	Benefits	0	1,335	921	934	(401)	-30.02%
100	Personnel	0	9,711	6,180	6,462	(3,249)	-33.46%

2230 Technology

<u> </u>							
100	Personnel	0	0	6,180	6,462	6,462	NM
200	Benefits	0	0	921	934	934	NM
300	Professional Service	16,303	15,028	12,200	15,028	0	0.00%
400	Property Services	17	400	350	400	0	0.00%
500	Other Services	950	600	600	600	0	0.00%
600	Supplies	1,294	1,900	2,000	1,500	(400)	-21.05%
700	Equipment	262	2,825	1,200	1,000	(1,825)	-64.60%
800	Other	0	0	0	0	0	NM
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
	Totals	18,826	20,753	23,451	25,924	5,171	24.92%

Peacham School Report 2010

2240 Professional Development

100	Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	NM
200	Benefits	9,569	5,375	2,500	5,375	0	0.01%
300	Professional Service	0	0	0	0	0	NM
400	Property Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
500	Other Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
600	Supplies	0	0	0	0	0	NM
700	Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	NM
800	Other	0	0	0	0	0	NM
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
	Totals	9,569	5,375	2,500	5,375	0	0.01%

2300 School Board

100	Personnel	600	600	600	600	0	0.00%
200	Benefits	31	51	49	49	(1)	-2.67%
300	Professional Service	50,909	53,441	52,241	47,095	(6,346)	-11.87%
400	Property Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
500	Other Services	3,446	3,350	4,483	3,350	0	0.00%
600	Supplies	2,355	2,300	2,200	2,300	0	0.00%
700	Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	NM
800	Other	1,325	1,300	1,300	1,300	0	0.00%
900	Transfers (Town)	12,724	0	0	0	0	NM
	Totals	71,390	61,042	60,873	54,694	(6,347)	-10.40%

2410 Principal's Office

	Totals	79,679	94,002	102,924	103,775	9,773	10.40%
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
800	Other	417	650	600	650	0	0.00%
700	Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	NM
600	Supplies	1,042	1,100	1,100	1,100	0	0.00%
500	Other Services	1,210	975	925	1,225	250	25.64%
400	Property Services	3,149	3,500	3,500	3,500	0	0.00%
300	Professional Service	0	0	0	0	0	NM
200	Benefits	17,009	19,208	24,499	25,000	5,792	30.16%
100	Personnel	56,853	68,569	72,300	72,300	3,731	5.44%

2520 Fiscal Services

	Totals	26,376	39,084	23,296	39,730	646	1.65%
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
800	Other	12,912	23,650	8,012	23,650	0	0.00%
700	Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	NM
600	Supplies	64	300	150	300	0	0.00%
500	Other Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
400	Property Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
300	Professional Service	13,400	15,134	15,134	15,780	646	4.27%
200	Benefits	0	0	0	0	0	NM
100	Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	NM

Peacham School Report 2010

2600 Plant Operation

-							
100	Personnel	25,668	21,840	22,425	22,425	585	2.68%
200	Benefits	13,171	16,298	14,352	16,169	(129)	-0.79%
300	Professional Service	2,520	425	300	400	(25)	-5.88%
400	Property Services	6,221	10,160	9,800	10,700	540	5.31%
500	Other Services	3,146	5,100	4,568	4,600	(500)	-9.80%
600	Supplies	24,648	30,000	31,445	30,000	0	0.00%
700	Equipment	1,868	250	2,250	538	288	115.20%
800	Other	0	300	300	300	0	0.00%
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
Totals		77,244	84,373	85,440	85,132	759	0.90%

2700 Transportation

	Totals	35,907	37,700	35,750	37,700	0	0.00%
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
800	Other	0	0	0	0	0	NM
700	Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	NM
600	Supplies	0	0	0	0	0	NM
500	Other Services	35,907	37,700	35,750	37,700	0	0.00%
400	Property Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
300	Professional Service	0	0	0	0	0	NM
200	Benefits	0	0	0	0	0	NM
100	Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	NM

5000 Debt Service

100	Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	NM
200	Benefits	0	0	0	0	0	NM
300	Professional Service	0	0	0	0	0	NM
400	Property Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
500	Other Services	0	0	0	0	0	NM
600	Supplies	0	0	0	0	0	NM
700	Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	NM
800	Other	18,541	17,691	17,691	16,841	(850)	-4.80%
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
	Totals	18,541	17,691	17,691	16,841	(850)	-3.59%

General Fund Totals 1,647,389 1,620,417 1,536,415 1,574,157 (46,259) -2.84	1%
--	----

3100 Hot Lunch Fund

unch Fund						
Personnel	20,228	19,740	20,370	20,370	630	3.19%
Benefits	7,265	9,021	8,482	8,670	(351)	-3.89%
Professional Service	0	0	0	0	0	NM
Property Services	284	250	250	250	0	0.00%
Other Services	313	250	250	250	0	0.00%
Supplies	19,997	20,150	21,000	20,150	0	0.00%
Equipment	481	100	100	100	0	0.00%
Other	0	0	0	0	0	NM
Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
Totals	48,567	49,511	50,452	49,790	279	0.56%
•						
General and Hot Lunch	1,695,956	1,669,928	1,586,687	1,623,947	(45,980)	-2.74%
jects	•				•	
Personnel	398,083	395,779	400,362	407,700		
Benefits	136,615	128,497	123,788	137,131		
Professional Service	99,437	95,228	91,775	89,443		
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	07,113		
Property Services	9,671	14,310	13,900	14,850		
Property Services Other Services	9,671 45,162		-			
		14,310	13,900	14,850		
Other Services	45,162	14,310 48,325	13,900 46,926	14,850 48,075		
Other Services Tuition	45,162 895,212	14,310 48,325 874,949	13,900 46,926 803,667	14,850 48,075 812,588		
Other Services Tuition Supplies	45,162 895,212 63,095	14,310 48,325 874,949 66,074	13,900 46,926 803,667 74,995	14,850 48,075 812,588 67,961		
Other Services Tuition Supplies Equipment	45,162 895,212 63,095 2,611	14,310 48,325 874,949 66,074 3,175	13,900 46,926 803,667 74,995 3,550	14,850 48,075 812,588 67,961 3,458		
	Personnel Benefits Professional Service Property Services Other Services Supplies Equipment Other Transfers Totals General and Hot Lunch jects Personnel Benefits	Personnel20,228Benefits7,265Professional Service0Property Services284Other Services313Supplies19,997Equipment481Other0Transfers0Totals48,567General and Hot Lunch1,695,956jects398,083Benefits136,615	Benefits 7,265 9,021 Professional Service 0 0 Property Services 284 250 Other Services 313 250 Supplies 19,997 20,150 Equipment 481 100 Other 0 0 Transfers 0 0 Totals 48,567 49,511 Seneral and Hot Lunch 1,695,956 1,669,928 jects 398,083 395,779 Benefits 136,615 128,497	Benefits 7,265 9,021 8,482 Professional Service 0 0 0 Property Services 284 250 250 Other Services 313 250 250 Supplies 19,997 20,150 21,000 Equipment 481 100 100 Other 0 0 0 Transfers 0 0 0 Totals 48,567 49,511 50,452 Seneral and Hot Lunch 1,695,956 1,669,928 1,586,687 jects 98,083 395,779 400,362 Benefits 136,615 128,497 123,788	Benefits 7,265 9,021 8,482 8,670 Professional Service 0 0 0 0 Property Services 284 250 250 250 Other Services 313 250 250 250 Supplies 19,997 20,150 21,000 20,150 Equipment 481 100 100 100 Other 0 0 0 0 Transfers 0 0 0 0 Totals 48,567 49,511 50,452 49,790 Seneral and Hot Lunch 1,695,956 1,669,928 1,586,687 1,623,947 jects	Benefits 7,265 9,021 8,482 8,670 (351) Professional Service 0 0 0 0 0 0 Property Services 284 250 250 250 0 0 Other Services 313 250 250 250 0 0 Supplies 19,997 20,150 21,000 20,150 0 0 Equipment 481 100 100 100 0 0 Other 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Other 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Other 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Transfers 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Seneral and Hot Lunch 1,695,956 1,669,928 1,586,687 1,623,947 (45,980) jects 136,615 128,497 123,788 13

<u>Peacham</u>	1						
FY2012 Bud	dget	FY2010	2011	2011	2012	Budget FY'1	1 to FY'12
		Actual	Budget	Projection	Budget	Incrs/(Decrs)	Percent
Revenue			5	J	5	· · · ·	
Local							
1110	Ed Fund Local Share Payments	1,493,800	1,477,223	1,493,565	1,428,985	(48,238)	-3.27%
1510	CD & MM Interest	13,213	20,000	13,250	13,250	(6,750)	-33.75%
5900	Miscellaneous	4,231	1,500	1,500	1,500	0	0.00%
	Totals	1,511,244	1,498,723	1,508,315	1,443,735	(54,988)	-3.67%
State	•	L		1			
3110	Education Fund Payments	17,343	0	0	0	0	NM
3111	Transportation Aid (Net)	14,711	14,433	15,038	14,722	289	2.00%
3145	Small Schools Grant	57,197	53,666	57,197	57,000	3,334	6.21%
3161	Capital Debt Hold-harmless	1,486	0	0	0	0	NM
3201	SE Mainstream Block Grant	37,773	32,865	37,773	32,865	0	0.00%
3202	SE Intensive Reimbursement	11,089	10,670	22,398	16,148	5,478	51.34%
3203	SE Extraordinary Reimbursemen	0	0	0	0	0	NM
3204	Essential Early Education	5,751	0	0	0	0	NM
	Totals	145,350	111,634	132,406	120,734	9,100	8.15%
Federal		04 000	44 507		44.000	()	
4250	Consolidated Federal Programs	31,938	14,597	22,000	14,000	(597)	-4.09%
	E-Rate Reimbursement	821	2,500	2,800	800	(1,700)	-68.00%
	CCSU Portion of Pre-K Program	0	27,823	25,744	28,279	456	1.64%
	Private Grants	39,115	0	0	0	0	NM
	Totals	71,873	44,920	50,544	43,079	(1,841)	-4.10%
General Fu	ind Total Revenues	1,728,468	1,655,277	1,691,265	1,607,548	(47,729)	-2.88%
			. •				
Food Servi	ce Revenues						
1610	Food Service Sales	8,962	10,000	10,000	10,000	0	0.00%
3450	Food Service Match	3,711	400	3,500	400	0	0.00%
4550	Child Nutrition	12,268	10,250	11,500	12,000	1,750	17.07%
	Totals	24,941	20,650	25,000	22,400	1,750	8.47%
			4 075 005	4 740 005	4 000 0 10	(48 0-0)	0.7404
All Funds T	otal Available	1,753,409	1,675,927	1,716,265	1,629,948	(45,979)	-2.74%

Detail budgets are available at the School and at the Town Clerk's Office

Notes on FY'11 and FY'12

Under Act 68, the total amount of revenue to be received from the Education Fund is shown under the Local section and is titled Education Fund Payments. Each year the April 1 Grand List determines how much of the Education Fund Payments will be received from the Local taxpayer as Residential or Non-Residential Payments. Any remaining Education Fund Payments, up to the total of the amount shown under Local as Education Fund Payments, will be received from the State. Any amount collected that is not needed by the school will be sent to the State Education Fund. A Payment is indicated by (xxx) in the State section.

Peacham School District				
Estimated Tax Rates Calculation		FY12		<u>FY11</u>
Budgeted Expenditures Less: Local Revenues Net Education Fund Spending	1 2_ 3	\$1,607,548 (178,563) 1,428,985		\$1,655,277 (178,054) 1,477,223
Equalized Pupils (1)	4	106.81		105.24
Education spending per equalized pupil	5	13,379	Line 3 / Line 4	14,037
Base for tax rate calculation (2)	6	8,544		8,544
District spending adjustment factor	7	156.587%	Line 5 / Line 6	164.287%
2011 Statewide Residential Property Tax Rates (2)	8	\$0.870		\$0.860
District spending adjustment		156.587%	Line 7 calculation	164.287%
	_	\$1.3623	Line 8 x Line 7	\$1.4129
Common Level of appraisal adjustment (3)	_	95.77%		99.50%
Estimated Residential Tax Rate	_	\$1.4225		\$1.4200
Change from Prior Year Actual Tax Rate	=	\$0.0025		\$0.0930
Statewide Non Residential Tax Rate (2)		\$1.360		\$1.350
Common Level of appraisal adjustment		95.77%		99.50%
	-	\$1.4201		\$1.3568
Spending threshold amount	=	\$14,733		\$14,552

Notes:

(1) Equalized pupil calculation is from Dept of Education and is based on FY'11 and FY'10

data and averaged over those two years. Final Data issued Dec 20, 2010

(2) Amounts are based on the recommendations from the Department of Taxes to the Legislature on Dec 01, 2010 and are subject to final approval or change by the 2011 Legislative session

(3) Common level of appraisal percentage is calculated by VT Department of Taxes, Division of Property Valuation and Review and CLA figure received December 14, 2010.

Actual Calendar Year Tax Rates	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	2008	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Residential (2009 after reappraisal)	\$1.4200	\$1.3270	\$1.8961	\$1.8165	\$1.5412	\$1.5223	\$1.4477
Non Residential (2009 after reappraisal)	\$1.3568	\$1.3000	\$1.9682	\$1.8326	\$1.6088	\$1.5964	\$1.4959
For school fiscal year budget	FY'11	FY'10	FY'09	FY'08	FY'07	FY'06	FY'05
Common Level of Appraisal	99.50%	100.00%	69.10%	74.21%	89.51%	94.59%	102.95%
Year over Year Change	0.50%	0.00%	5.11%	15.30%	5.08%	8.36%	-19.23%
For Fiscal Year Budget	<u>FY'12</u>	<u>FY'11</u>	<u>FY'10</u>	<u>FY'09</u>	<u>FY'08</u>	<u>FY'07</u>	<u>FY'06</u>
Number of equalized pupils	106.40	105.24	109.09	113.05	115.42	119.61	123.95
Percentage of change	1.10%	-3.53%	-3.50%	-2.05%	-3.50%	-3.50%	-3.50%

\$10,000 of budget cost = one cent on tax rate after CLA adjustment

	District:	Peacham	T151	1		Enter estimated homestead base rate for FY2012. See note at bottom of page.
	County:	Caledonia	Caledonia Centra	al	8,544	0.87
	Expendit	ures	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
1.		Budget (local budget, including special programs, full technical center expenditures, and any Act 144 expenditures)	\$1,597,619	\$1,675,106	\$1,655,277	\$1,607,548
2.	plus	Sum of separately warned articles passed at town meeting	+ _	-	-	-
3. 4.	minus	Act 144 Expenditures, to be excluded from Education Spending Act 68 locally adopted or warned budget	\$1,597,619	- \$1,675,106	\$1,655,277	- \$1,607,548
5.	plus	Obligation to a Regional Technical Center School District if any	+ -	-	-	-
6. 7.	plus	Prior year deficit reduction if not included in expenditure budget Gross Act 68 Budget	+ \$1,597,619	\$1,675,106	\$1,655,277	- \$1,607,548
8.		S.U. assessment (included in local budget) - informational data	\$50,948	\$58,240	\$59,375	\$60,875
9.		Prior year deficit reduction (if included in expenditure budget) - informational data	-	-	-	
10. 11.	Revenue	s Local revenues (categorical grants, donations, turtions, surplus, atc. including local act 144 tay revenues) Capital debt aid for eligible projects pre-existing Act 60	\$197,276 + -	\$181,541 -	\$178,054	\$178,563
10		Prior year deficit reduction if included in revenues (negative				
12. 13.	plus minus	revenue instead of expenditures) All Act 144 revenues, including local Act 144 tax revenues	+ -	-	-	
14.		Total local revenues	\$197,276	\$181,541	\$178,054	\$178,563
15.		Education Spending	\$1,400,343	\$1,493,565	\$1,477,223	\$1,428,985
16.		Equalized Pupils (Act 130 count is by school district)	113.26	109.09	105.24	106.81
_						
17.		Education Spending per Equalized Pupil	\$12,363.97	\$13,691.13	\$14,036.71	\$13,379
17. 18. 19.	minus minus	Education Spending per Equalized Pupil Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual	\$12,363.97 - \$171.21 	\$13,691.13 \$169.96	\$14,036.71 \$168.10	\$13,379
18.		Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil				\$13,379
18. 19. 20.	minus minus	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil				\$13,379
18. 19.	minus	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd				\$13,379
18. 19. 20. 21.	minus minus	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd spending if district has 20 or fewer equalized pupils				\$13,379
18. 19. 20.	minus minus minus	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd				\$13,379 -
18. 19. 20. 21.	minus minus minus	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd spending if district has 20 or fewer equalized pupils		\$169.96	\$168.10 - -	
18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	minus minus minus minus	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd spending if district has 20 or fewer equalized pupils Less planning costs for merger of small schools	- \$171.21 	\$169.96	\$168.10 - -	
 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 	minus minus minus minus	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd spending if district has 20 or fewer equalized pupils Less planning costs for merger of small schools Excess Spending per Equalized Pupil over threshold (if any)	- \$171.21 	\$169.96 - - - 	\$168.10 - 	
18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25.	minus minus minus minus plus	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd spending if district has 20 or fewer equalized pupils Less planning costs for merger of small schools Excess Spending per Equalized Pupil over threshold (if any) Per pupil figure used for calculating District Adjustment District spending adjustment (minimum of 100%) (\$13,379 / \$8,544) pg the local tax rate	- \$171.21 	\$169.96 - - - 	\$168.10 	threshold = \$14,733 \$13,379 156.587% based on \$8,544
 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 	minus minus minus minus plus	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd spending if district has 20 or fewer equalized pupils Less planning costs for merger of small schools Excess Spending per Equalized Pupil over threshold (if any) Per pupil figure used for calculating District Adjustment District spending adjustment (minimum of 100%) (\$13,379 / \$8,544)	- \$171.21 	\$169.96 - - - 	\$168.10 	threshold = \$14,733
18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25.	minus minus minus minus plus	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd spending if district has 20 or fewer equalized pupils Less planning costs for merger of small schools Excess Spending per Equalized Pupil over threshold (if any) Per pupil figure used for calculating District Adjustment District spending adjustment (minimum of 100%) (\$13,379 / \$8,544) District equalized homestead tax rate to be prorated	- \$171.21 	\$169.96 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$168.10 	threshold = \$14,733 threshold = \$14,733 \$13,379 156.587% based on \$8,544 \$1.3623
18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26.	minus minus minus plus Proratir	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd spending if district has 20 or fewer equalized pupils Less planning costs for merger of small schools Excess Spending per Equalized Pupil over threshold (if any) Per pupil figure used for calculating District Adjustment District spending adjustment (minimum of 100%) (\$13,379 / \$8,544) Deg the local tax rate Anticipated district equalized homestead tax rate to be prorated (156.587% x \$0.870)	- \$171.21 	\$169.96 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$168.10 - - 	threshold = \$14,733 threshold = \$14,733 \$13,379 \$13,379 156.587% based on \$8,544 \$1.3623 based on \$0.870
18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27.	minus minus minus plus Proratir	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd spending if district has 20 or fewer equalized pupils Less planning costs for merger of small schools Excess Spending per Equalized Pupil over threshold (if any) Per pupil figure used for calculating District Adjustment District spending adjustment (minimum of 100%) (\$13,379 / \$8,544) Of the local tax rate Anticipated district equalized pupils not in a union school district portion of district eq homestead rate to be assessed by town	- \$171.21 	\$169.96 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$168.10 	
18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28.	minus minus minus plus Proratir	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd spending if district has 20 or fewer equalized pupils Less planning costs for merger of small schools Excess Spending per Equalized Pupil over threshold (if any) Per pupil figure used for calculating District Adjustment District spending adjustment (minimum of 100%) (\$13,379 / \$8,544) Tog the local tax rate Anticipated district equalized homestead tax rate to be prorated (156.587% x \$0.870) Percent of Peacham equalized pupils not in a union school district protion of district eq homestead rate to be assessed by town	- \$171.21 	\$169.96 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$168.10 	threshold = \$14,733 threshold = \$14,733 \$13,379 \$156.587% based on \$9,544 \$1.3623 based on \$0,670 100.00% \$1.3623
18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29.	minus minus minus plus Proratir	Less net eligible construction costs (or P&I) per equalized pupil Less share of SpEd costs in excess of \$50,000 for an individual Less amount of deficit if deficit is solely attributable to tuitions paid to public schools for grades the district does not operate for new students who moved to the district after the budget was passed Less SpEd costs if excess is solely attributable to new SpEd spending if district has 20 or fewer equalized pupils Less planning costs for merger of small schools Excess Spending per Equalized Pupil over threshold (if any) Per pupil figure used for calculating District Adjustment District spending adjustment (minimum of 100%) (\$13,379 / \$8,544) Or the local tax rate Anticipated district equalized homestead tax rate to be prorated (156.587% x \$0.870) Percent of Peacham equalized pupils not in a union school district ortion of district equalized rate to be assessed by town (10000070 x \$1.007)	- \$171.21 	\$169.96 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$168.10 	- threshold = \$14,733 \$13,379 156.587% based on \$8,544 \$1.3623 based on \$0.870 100.00% \$1.3623 95.77% \$1.4225

- On 01-Dec-09, the Tax Commissioner made a recommendation for an FY2011 base education homestead tax rate of \$0.882. The base income percentage cap is 1.80%. Final figures will be set by the Legislature and approved by the Governor.

The base education amount of \$8,544 was set by the by the Legislature, but could be subject to change.

Comparative Data for Cost-Effectiveness

School: Peacham Elementary School S.U.: Caledonia Central S.U.

FY2010 School Level Data

16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2)("A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports": http://www.state.vt.us/educ/

Cohort Rank by Enrollment (1 is largest)

	Cohort Description: Elementary school, (47 schools in coh				Cohort Rank by 38 out of 47	Enrollment (1	l is largest)	
	School level da	ta Grades Offered	Enrollment	Total Teachers	Total Administrators	Stu / Tchr Ratio	Stu / Admin Ratio	Tchr / Admin Ratio
Ŷ	Sudbury Country School	K - 6	33	3.90	0.30	8.46	110.00	13.00
Smaller	Brookline Elementary School	PK - 6	35	3.10	0.30	11.29	116.67	10.33
Sm	E. Taylor Hatton School	K - 6	37	5.10	0.40	7.25	92.50	12.75
	Peacham Elementary School	K - 6	38	5.30	0.60	7.17	63.33	8.83
Larger	Reading Elementary School	K - 6	40	4.70	1.00	8.51	40.00	4.70
- La	Whiting Village School	PK - 6	41	3.32	0.40	12.35	102.50	8.30
v	Ripton Elementary School	PK - 6	44	4.90	0.60	8.98	73.33	8.17
	Averaged SCHOOL cohort data		61.91	6.46	0.76	9.58	81.51	8.51
Sc	hool District: Peacham	Special educa	tion expenditures \	ary substantiall	y The porti	on of current e	xpenditures m	ade by

School District: Peacham LEA ID: T151 Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

Over de la afferra d'Otrada est ETE

FY2009 School District Data

Cohort Description: Elementary school district, FY2009 FTE < 100 (50 school districts in cohort) supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. This year's figures include district assessments to SUs. Doing so makes districts more comparable to each other. The consequence is that THESE FIGURES ARE ONLY COMPARABLE TO FIGURES USED IN THE SIMILAR FILES FOR FY10 and FY11.

Calcart Damla has ETE

	School distric	t data (local, union, or joint district)	Grades offered in School District	Student FTE enrolled in school district	student FTE EXC special education	LUDING	(1 is largest) 38 out of 50
Smaller ->	Woodford Reading Morgan		K-6 K-6 K-6	36.00 36.08 36.90	\$10,864 \$19,016 \$15,006	calculate	expenditures are an effort to an amount per FTE spent rict on students enrolled in
	Peacham		PK-6	38.00	\$17,923		ict. This figure excludes
rger	Ripton		PK-6	44.41	\$16,168		ind assessments paid to
r-La	Sunderland		K-6	46.55	\$15,023		viders, construction and
v	Roxbury		PK-6	48.08	\$12,280		nt costs, debt service, adult
Aver	aged SCHOOL	DISTRICT cohort data		62.29	\$13,210	education	n, and community service.

FY2011 S	Scł	nool Di	strict Data		S	chool district tax ra	ate		al tax rate , K-1 ed member dist	, 0
					SD	SD	SD	MUN	MUN	MUN
						Education	Equalized	Equalized	Common	Actual
				Grades offered	Equalized	Spending per	Homestead	Homestead	Level	Homestead
				in School	Pupils	Equalized Pupil	Ed tax rate	Ed tax rate	of Appraisal	Ed tax rate
		LEA ID	School District	District			Use these tax rates to compare towns rates.			t comparable due to CLA's.
	Ŷ	T034	Brownington	K-6	101.24	9,462.59	0.9525	1.0339	1.0821	0.9554
201	omaliei	T170	Roxbury	PK-6	102.79	12,498.11	1.2580	1.2580	0.8601	1.4626
8	5	T143	North Hero	K-6	104.28	12,451.96	1.2534	1.2534	0.8283	1.5132
		T151	Peacham	PK-6	105.24	14,036.71	1.4129	1.4129	0.9950	1.4200
100	n de	T197	Stockbridge	PK-6	111.80	11,989.94	1.2069	1.2069	0.8654	1.3946
-	<- Laiger	T125	Middletown Springs	PK-6	117.78	12,294.90	1.2375	1.2375	0.8293	1.4922
,	V	T221	Wardsboro	PK-6	128.26	12,577.30	1.2660	1.2660	0.9860	1.2840

The Legislature has required the Department of Education to provide this information per the following statute:

16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. . . . The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.

Peacham School District

For FY	<u>June 30, 2010</u>		Total	Total	
<u>Objec</u>	<u>nt Name</u>	<u>Salary</u>	Benefits	<u>Sal & Ben</u>	Assignment
	110 Brehm, Lian	4,816.40	507.42	5,323.82	Art
	110 Brisco, Janice	58,543.97	20,554.73	79,098.70	G 3/4
	110 Chase, Dawnna L.	44,090.58	20,454.36	64,544.94	G 5/6
	110 Crum, Cathy	36,146.88	3,879.82	40,026.70	G 1/2
	110 Ostrander, Regina M.	10,873.60	1,060.08	11,933.68	Physical Education
	110 Parker, Sarah	45,343.04	9,748.80	55,091.84	Pre K/K
	110 Peabody, Mark	63,000.00	17,753.01	80,753.01	Special Education/Principal
	110 Riley, Eileen	48,952.99	20,912.21	69,865.20	Extension/Library
	110 Turner, Laura	4,032.79	411.75	4,444.54	Music
	110 Vaal, Ruth	4,019.45	410.35	4,429.80	Nurse
	110 Webster, Diana	7,861.00	1,141.34	9,002.34	Guidance
	110 Substitutes	9,027.00	921.66	9,948.66	Substitutes
	Totals	336,707.70	97,755.53	434,463.23	

Note: PSD reimbursed for Sarah Parker CREEP activities, Salary and Benefits \$24,450.74 by CCSU.

Staff Personnel

For FY	<u>June 30, 2010</u>		Total	Total	
Object	Name	<u>Salary</u>	Benefits	<u>Sal & Ben</u>	Assignment
115	Berwick, Wanda	557.50	56.92	614.42	Hot Lunch
115	Burke, Rosana	18,370.06	7,421.13	25,791.19	Administrative Assistant
115	Emerson, Angel	21,104.78	1,981.21	23,085.99	Para Educator
115	Ruffner, Alice	18,585.57	8,525.62	27,111.19	Hot Lunch
115	Stevenson, Cheryl	23,901.36	14,744.61	38,645.97	Custodian
		82,519.27	32,729.49	115,248.76	

Administrative Professional Personnel

For FY <u>Object</u> 11	<u>June 30, 2010</u> <u>Name</u> O School Board	<u>Salary</u> 600.00	Total <u>Benefits</u> 50.00	Total <u>Sal & Ben</u> 650.00	<u>Assignment</u> School Directors
		600.00	50.00	650.00	
All PR	FY'10 Totals	419,826.97	97,085.54	477,723.79	
Substitu	ites	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Days</u>	<u>Hours</u>	
	Berwick, Brittany	982.00		122.75	
	Berwick, Jennifer	620.00		77.50	
	Dimick, Mary	5,317.50	67.00	96.25	
	Luomala, Jael	552.50	8.50		
	Nunn, Rose J.	482.50	4.00		
	Blackmore, Dolores	292.50	4.50		
	Lazerick, Sandy	65.00	1.00		
	Nolan, Mary Beth	715.00 9,027.00	11.00		

vermont want				Dalik Scheu				
		<u>Actual</u>		Scheduled Payment of				
	<u>Date</u>	Payment [Variable]		<u>Interest</u>	<u>Principal</u>	Balance		
	07/01/03		Opening Balance			160,000.00		
	12/01/03	14,287.08	4.9910%	4,354.68	15,000.00	145,000.00		
	06/01/04	3,980.35		3,980.35		145,000.00		
	12/01/04	13,912.75	5.0910%	3,980.35	15,000.00	130,000.00		
	06/01/05	3,598.53		3,598.53		130,000.00		
	12/01/05	18,598.53	5.1910%	3,598.53	15,000.00	115,000.00		
	06/01/06	3,209.20		3,209.20		115,000.00		
	12/01/06	18,209.20	5.2910%	3,209.20	15,000.00	100,000.00		
	06/01/07	2,812.38		2,812.38		100,000.00		
	12/01/07	17,812.38	5.3910%	2,812.38	15,000.00	85,000.00		
	06/01/08	2,408.05		2,408.05		85,000.00		
	12/01/08	17,408.05	5.6660%	2,408.05	15,000.00	70,000.00		
	06/01/09	1,983.10		1,983.10		70,000.00		
	12/01/09	16,983.10	5.6660%	1,983.10	15,000.00	55,000.00		
	06/01/10	1,558.15		1,558.15		55,000.00		
	12/01/10	16,558.15	5.6660%	1,558.15	15,000.00	40,000.00		
	06/01/11	1,133.20		1,133.20		40,000.00		
	12/01/11	16,133.20	5.6660%	1,133.20	15,000.00	25,000.00		
	06/01/12	708.25		708.25		25,000.00		
	12/01/12	15,708.25	5.6660%	708.25	15,000.00	10,000.00		
	06/01/13	283.30		283.30		10,000.00		
	12/01/13	10,283.30	5.6660%	283.30	10,000.00	0.00		
	-	197,568.50	-	47,703.70	160,000.00			

Vermont Municipal Bond Bank Schedule

Report from the School Board

"The only constant is change"--an ancient aphorism that seems especially apt for Peacham Elementary School. A new principal, Hank Ruppertsberger, joined us on July 1, 2010. "Mr. R" brings energy, optimism and diverse interests ranging from science to beekeeping. Other new staff (introduced in the Letter from the Principal) includes Michelle Melville in library/tech integration, Samantha Prindiville in music, Alan Sholk in special education, Sally Cochran in guidance, and para-educator Meryl Haff.

The November election brought a new administration to Montpelier and with it a change in tone vis-a-vis public education. ARRA funding of \$19 million is now to be released to offset some education costs in Vermont, and schools have been given extra time to reach the "Challenges for Change" targets for spending reductions. Peter Peltz, author of Act 153, with its "carrot" approach to promoting voluntary school district mergers, visited Peacham in November to explain the provisions of the legislation. Act 153 is still being considered in some supervisory unions, but was rejected in CCSU as being inapplicable here due to the different models for delivering education represented by our four SU schools.

In the meantime, the strategic planning process, which began in November 2009 with a Community Forum brainstorming session and continued through the work of a dedicated committee, emerged as a finished document last fall. The new Strategic Plan sets out goals in school climate, community relations, curriculum, technology and differentiated instruction, and may be viewed on the newly revamped school website.

The budget brought some surprises as well. An unanticipated drop in the number of tuition students this year may result in a sizable surplus when the books are closed in 2011, and also means a lower budget projection for 2012. Because of this, Peacham surpassed its "Challenges for Change" target as set out in Act 146. It is still up to the Legislature, however, to set the tax calculation formula, and it now appears that next year's amounts, combined with a drop in Peacham's CLA, mean that our residential property tax rate will actually increase very slightly over last year despite a significant drop in the overall budget. This is frustrating, to say the least.

Some encouraging news is that enrollment is growing at the Elementary School, particularly in the lower grades, so after a protracted period of declining or static enrollment, we are looking at a larger student body for next school year. We are also looking at the newly completed Northern Skies Observatory on its knoll above the school, and are very pleased that "Mr. R" is training as a docent to help make this amazing resource available to Peacham students! Thanks for your continuing support,

Peacham School Board: Jo Anne Post, Wynne Browne and Jenny Mackenzie

Annual Report from the Peacham School Principal

Let me begin by thanking students, parents, and community members for the generous support you give to the Peacham Elementary School. I believe small schools are vital to the health of communities throughout Vermont. It is wonderful to be part of a vibrant school community where students are supported by caring teachers, helpful parents, neighbors, and others who give of their time and other resources to help ensure our school's continued success.

Our enrollment currently stands at 55 students, grades PK - 6. Students continue to be grouped in combined grade levels. Our veteran staff include: Sarah Parker (grades PK & K, 23 students), Kathy Crum (grades 1 & 2, 13 students), Janice Brisco (grades 3 & 4, 10 students), and Dawnna Chase (grades 5 & 6, 9 students). I am thankful to these teachers for the respectful learning environment they maintain in their classrooms, and the hard work they do to ensure their teaching is focused on, and guided by, student learning. Several new staff has joined us this year: Michelle Melville has brought a high level of interest and skill as our librarian and technology application support person. Equally ambitious and talented is, our new music and choral teacher, Samantha Prindiville. Student support has been also been strengthened with the addition of special educator Alan Sholk, and Sally Cochran, our knowledgeable and caring guidance counselor. Our para-educator Meryl Haff is also new to our school, and provides wonderful support to help meet a variety of student needs. As the school's instructional leader, I continue to enjoy my work with these teachers to help build our knowledge and skills.

We currently have 15 Peacham students attending area schools in grades 7 & 8, and 42 students in grades 9 - 12. This year, it has been nice to see several of these students return to their home town elementary school as part of their special projects or assignments. My thanks to them for keeping us in mind!

If you have not yet discovered our new web page, please go to: <u>https://sites.google.com/a/peachamschool.org/peacham-school/</u> for up to date school information. Please give Rossana Burke, our school's administrative assistant a call, if you should have further questions. Rossana takes pride in helping others, no matter what the question or need.

Please let me know if I can be of help!

Sincerely,

Hank Ruppertsberger

Parent Teacher Friend Group Report 2010

PTF continues 2010-2011 with Sara Bunnell as President and Rossana Burke as Treasurer. We thank them for their hard work and commitment to PTF.

PTF organized two successful fundraisers for the fall of 2010, the Fall Foliage Festival and a Red Barn fundraiser. Thank you to all of you for your help and participation, without your help they would not have been possible.

As we put together this report, the students in grades one through six are gearing up for four weeks of skiing at Burke Mountain. The Burke Mountain Ski Program is fully funded by PTF. The program provides each student with equipment, lessons and the opportunity to practice their new skills. The "Swim for Gym" Program will take place this spring, and is also fully funded by PTF.

PTF continues to fund scholarships for musical instrument rentals, as well as offer support for the rent-to-own instrument program through Ellis Music.

Upcoming PTF events include the auction, which will likely take place in March as well as an end of the year family event.

PTF is an organization made up of parents, staff and community members who work together to provide additional opportunities for Peacham students. We always welcome new faces and new ideas.

Sara Bunnell and Rossana Burke, PTF Officers

Caledonia Central S.U. FY09-FY10-FY11 Data

Function:	2320 - Superintendent						
		Actual FYE	Budget FYE	Projected FYE	Budget FYE	Budget	Percent
<u>Obj Code</u>	Title	<u>June 30, 2009</u>	<u>June 30, 2010</u>	<u>June 30, 2010</u>	<u>June 30, 2011</u>	Incrs/(Decrs)	Incrs/(Decrs)
100	Salaries and Wages	149,555	149,797	149,547	158,561	8,764	5.85%
200	Benefits	15,561	16,107	16,098	16,041	(66)	-0.41%
300	Professional Services	19,160	13,420	13,300	13,920	500	3.73%
400	Repair & Maintenance	30,554	35,324	35,121	35,324	0	NM
500	Purchased Services	13,643	15,380	15,188	15,225	(155)	-1.01%
600	Supplies	11,159	10,570	9,950	10,070	(500)	-4.73%
700	Equipment	4,381	0	1,000	0	0	NM
800	Other	3,296	5,250	6,335	6,750	1,500	28.57%
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
	Totals	<u>247,310</u>	<u>245,848</u>	<u>246,539</u>	<u>255,890</u>	<u>10,042</u>	4.08%
Function:	2420 - Student Services						
		Actual FYE	Budget FYE	Projected FYE	Budget FYE	Budget	Percent
Obj Code	<u>Title</u>	June 30, 2009	<u>June 30, 2010</u>	<u>June 30, 2010</u>	<u>June 30, 2011</u>	Incrs/(Decrs)	Incrs/(Decrs)
100	Salaries and Wages	155,390	148,878	150,735	155,104	6,227	4.18%
200	Benefits	26,405	18,205	18,421	19,030	825	4.53%
300	Professional Services	20,998	35,000	36,000	38,000	3,000	8.57%
400	Repair & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	NM
500	Purchased Services	9,587	5,060	8,525	5,525	465	9.19%
600	Supplies	5,485	6,000	5,500	5,500	(500)	-8.33%
700	Equipment	2,892	3,500	0	0	(3,500)	-100.00%
800	Other	179	300	400	400	100	33.33%
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
	Totals	<u>220,936</u>	<u>216,943</u>	<u>219,581</u>	<u>223,560</u>	<u>6,617</u>	3.05%
Function:	2520 - Business Office						
		Actual FYE	Budget FYE	Projected FYE	Budget FYE	Budget	Percent
Obj Code	Title	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2011	Incrs/(Decrs)	Incrs/(Decrs)
100	Salaries and Wages	122,099	144,824	145,780	137,903	(6,921)	-4.78%
200	Benefits	19,771	22,375	22,042	20,569	(1,806)	-8.07%
300	Professional Services	1,612	1,300	300	300	(1,000)	-76.92%
400	Repair & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	NM
500	Purchased Services	1,216	1,765	1,513	1,765	0	NM
600	Supplies	1,752	3,500	4,000	4,000	500	14.29%
700	Equipment	975	1,200	1,500	0	(1,200)	-100.00%
800	Other	335	572	500	657	85	14.86%
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
	Totals	<u>147,760</u>	<u>175,536</u>	<u>175,635</u>	<u>165,194</u>	<u>(10,342)</u>	-5.89%
Function:	1210 - Pre School Program						
		Actual FYE	Budget FYE	Projected FYE	Budget FYE	Budget	Percent
Obj Code	Title	<u>June 30, 2009</u>	<u>June 30, 2010</u>	June 30, 2010	<u>June 30, 2011</u>	Incrs/(Decrs)	Incrs/(Decrs)
	Salaries and Wages	223,010	197,215	218,673	220,058	22,843	11.58%
	Benefits	39,828	35,349	42,299	30,141	(5,208)	-14.73%
	Professional Services	60,065	59,149	62,712	57,955	(1,194)	-2.02%
	Repair & Maintenance	125	550	150	250	(300)	-54.55%
	Purchased Services	4,749	4,640	6,173	6,140	1,500	32.33%
	Supplies	7,236	8,500	8,500	9,000	500	5.88%
	Equipment	6,023	0	200	0	0	NM
	Other	600	0	0	0	0	NM
900	Transfers	0	0	0	0	0	NM
	Totals	<u>341,636</u>	<u>305,403</u>	<u>338,706</u>	<u>323,545</u>	<u>18,142</u>	5.94%
As Of:	Supervisory Union Totals 17-Dec-09	<u>957,642</u>	<u>943,730</u>	<u>980,461</u>	<u>968,189</u>	<u>24,459</u>	NM=Not Meaningful

BARNET – DANVILLE – PEACHAM – WALDEN

Caledonia Central Supervisory Union PO Box 216 - Danville, VT 05828 (802)684-3801 - Fax (802) 684-1190

> Martha Tucker, Superintendent of Schools <u>Martha.tucker@ccsuonline.org</u>

Superintendent's Report 2011

Caledonia Central Supervisory Union remained focused on leadership and service to our four school districts throughout the challenges of the 2010 calendar year. The CCSU system generally, and our schools in particular, have been able to navigate times of great changes while remaining true to our purpose – educating our students for their lives beyond high school. Our goals as a supervisory union continued to reflect the priorities that were previously identified within each school's strategic plan.

The integration of technology throughout our supervisory union looked very different at the end of 2010 relative to 2009. The acquisition of equipment, professional development, clarification of roles and increase in staff resulted in significant increases in the use of technology instructionally as well as in management operations. This will continue. In order to increase the effectiveness of our use, we will begin to shift our emphasis from using tools to redesigning instruction and redefining expectations of our teachers and students.

The supervisory union has continued to focus on increasing student achievement through work in writing, specifically, as well as in improved instruction and assessments. When our NECAP results are available in February, we will have the information we need to evaluate and adjust these educational improvement activities. We have already begun to expand our emphasis in writing to a more comprehensive focus on literacy in general (reading as well as writing) in 2011. Initially, we are reviewing our approaches in grades K-3 in order to ensure that we are maximizing the opportunity for every child to be a fluent reader by the end of the 3rd grade.

During the 2010 legislative session, two decisions were made which had considerable impact on the work of the CCSU Central Office. Act 153 required Supervisory Unions to discuss the voluntary merger of local districts into a Regional Educational District, (known as a RED). Within CCSU the formation of a RED was discussed as required by legislation. However, given the different grade offerings in our schools, (K-6, K-8, and K-12) and options for middle and high school choice within our supervisory union, we are not able to meet the specific requirements that must be in place for formation of a RED. At this time, the CCSU has no further plans to consider a voluntary merger of school districts. Act 146, also known as Challenges for Change, required supervisory unions to seek alternative approaches for conducting their operations in an effort to reduce spending by an amount that was set by the Department of Education: the targeted reduction for CCSU was \$165,581. We were required to notify Vermont Education Commissioner Vilaseca by December 15 as to our ability to achieve this target. At that date, with the limited information our Boards had in several important cost areas, such as high school tuition rates, it appeared we could not achieve the targeted reduction. By January 11, 2011, with all financial information in and all budgets approved, we had surpassed the reduction that was set for the supervisory union by \$23,866. This was accomplished through thoughtful and difficult work on the part of our Boards and administrators. Educational priorities that were balanced by efficient operations and cost effectiveness yielded budgets in all four schools that are at or below last year's spending. The CCSU budget which supports the direction and coordination of services for our schools is down as well by \$27,466 or 2.84%.

I feel very fortunate to work in Caledonia Central Supervisory Union. Our central office staff, school administrators and Boards are experienced, hard working and energetic. Our vision of education emphasizes the importance of community and of caring for our own. I believe that we are doing honest work in the best interest of our children.

Thank you for supporting our schools.